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## Difference in BMI of Male and Female Statistic Students at Longwood University Introduction

This study is a statistical analysis of survey responses from statistic students at Longwood University with the goal to determine a difference in BMI between male and females of the population. It was determined that there is a statistically significant difference in BMI between both genders of the sample.

## Data collection

The population for this study consists of students at Longwood University. The variables included in the populations data are sex, class, presidential approval, and body mass index (BMI). Sex, class, and presidential approval are categorical and discrete data values whereas BMI is quantitative and continuous data. The simple random samples (SRS) were found by using a TI-84 calculator. The first command was to press MATH and scroll over to PROB. Then press [8] randIntNoRep to enter the appropriate set of numbers. By using numbers from only the female population enter the lower, upper, and number of terms (n). For this data, 1 was the lower, the upper was 219 , and $n$ was 40 . Once randIntNoRep( $1,219,40$ ) was complete, press enter to view the forty random samples while scrolling across the screen. From the male population, the lower was 220 , the upper was 349 , and $n$ was 40 . The male formula would appear as randIntNoRep(220, 349, 40). This method allows for no repeats to show out of the forty numbers. These simple random sample sizes of forty covers only $18.3 \%$ of females in the population and $31 \%$ of males in the population. This is a reasonable amount to approximate the populations of all students in Math 171 and Math 301 because the randomized samples provide a large range of data. Refer to Table 1 and Table 2 for the full data for both samples.

## Data description

Presidential approval was surveyed from both samples with the options to select "approve, disapprove, or unsure." From the simple random sample of females, "disapprove" is the mode of presidential approval. The proportion of $26 / 40$ or $65 \%$ of females from the sample disapprove of President Trump. The male simple random sample also shows that disapproval is the most common response to presidential approval. This conclusion shows that $15 / 40$ or $37.5 \%$ of male statistic students in the sample disapprove of the current president. Although both samples of students disapprove of President Trump, there is a larger gap between both genders. The second leading value is the student's choice of "unsure" and the difference in these values are much closer for the male students compared to females. Refer to Histogram 1 and Histogram 2 to view the total results. The body mass index (BMI) was also surveyed among both samples in Histogram 3 and Histogram 4. The three most frequent values for female BMI in this simple random sample include 21.7, 22.2, and 22.9. From the male simple random sample, 24.4 is the most frequent value for the body mass index category. Male statistic students had a larger BMI mode compared to female statistic students. Both histograms demonstrate that each gender has data for the body mass index skewed to the right. These distributions are normally distributed because the sample size is larger than thirty. The center of the distribution for females is 23.7 while the distribution for males is 25.6 . The spread of data from the female simple random sample is 18.1 to 47.9. The simple random sample for males has a spread of 17.9 to 62.9. Please refer to Table 3 for the descriptive statistics of the BMI for both genders. There are outliers in the upper fence for each sample. The female simple random sample had three outliers of 41.7,42.2, and 47.9. The male simple random sample had two outliers of 47.6 and 62.9. These numbers were found by using the formula Q3+1.5xIQR. The female sample used $29.1+1.5 \times 7.7$
and the male sample used $30.7+1.5 \times 8$. There were no outliers in the lower fence. This conclusion was verified by using Q1-1.5xIQR for each sample.
Data analysis

There is a difference in BMI between male and female statistic students at Longwood University. The data collected demonstrated that males have a higher range of BMI than females. The sample meets the requirement of being greater than 30 and each individual was randomly selected. The hypothesis for these sets of data are $H_{0}: \mu_{1}-\mu_{2}=0$ and $H_{a}: \mu_{1}-\mu_{2} \neq$ 0 . For the hypothesis, $\mu_{1}$ : mean BMI of female statistic students and $\mu_{2}$ : mean BMI of male statistic students. The test statistic equals 0.99 and the degrees of freedom is 39 for this twosample set of data. With this data, the p-value is 0.834 . There are statistically significant results found by the hypothesis test. We reject $H_{0}$, we have significant evidence that the male students have a higher BMI than the female students. With a $95 \%$ confidence interval, the difference in mean BMI for both male and female statistic students at Longwood University lies between 5.058 and 1.659.

Table 1: Simple Random Sample of Female Statistic Students at Longwood University

| ID | Sex | Class | Presidential Approval | BMI (kg/m^2) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | Female | Junior | Unsure | 22.9 |
| 6 | Female | Freshman | Disapprove | 22.3 |
| 11 | Female | Senior | Disapprove | 30 |
| 12 | Female | Freshman | Disapprove | 29.2 |
| 20 | Female | Freshman | Unsure | 20.4 |
| 33 | Female | Sophomore | Disapprove | 19.3 |
| 48 | Female | Senior | Disapprove | 47.9 |
| 61 | Female | Sophomore | Disapprove | 22.2 |
| 62 | Female | Freshman | Disapprove | 21.7 |
| 63 | Female | Junior | Disapprove | 21.6 |
| 65 | Female | Freshman | Approve | 21 |
| 69 | Female | Senior | Unsure | 18.1 |
| 76 | Female | Junior | Disapprove | 23.8 |
| 79 | Female | Freshman | Disapprove | 23.3 |
| 82 | Female | Freshman | Disapprove | 22.2 |
| 89 | Female | Freshman | Unsure | 23.6 |
| 102 | Female | Freshman | Unsure | 25.9 |
| 106 | Female | Freshman | Approve | 27.5 |
| 109 | Female | Sophomore | Disapprove | 25.6 |
| 116 | Female | Freshman | Unsure | 41.7 |
| 118 | Female | Junior | Disapprove | 34.6 |
| 119 | Female | Sophomore | Unsure | 34.5 |
| 122 | Female | Freshman | Disapprove | 25.8 |
| 130 | Female | Freshman | Disapprove | 21.7 |
| 133 | Female | Senior | Disapprove | 21.1 |
| 142 | Female | Junior | Disapprove | 24.1 |
| 143 | Female | Sophomore | Disapprove | 22.9 |
| 148 | Female | Sophomore | Disapprove | 19.2 |
| 149 | Female | Freshman | Disapprove | 18.5 |
| 172 | Female | Freshman | Disapprove | 42.2 |
| 175 | Female | Freshman | Disapprove | 35.2 |
| 176 | Female | Sophomore | Approve | 33.8 |
| 184 | Female | Junior | Disapprove | 28.2 |
| 185 | Female | Junior | Approve | 26.6 |
| 187 | Female | Freshman | Disapprove | 25 |


| 194 | Female | Sophomore | Unsure | 19.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 195 | Female | Freshman | Unsure | 19.4 |
| 198 | Female | Junior | Disapprove | 37.9 |
| 203 | Female | Senior | Disapprove | 29 |
| 216 | Female | Freshman | Unsure | 18.9 |

Table 2: Simple Random Sample of Male Statistic Students at Longwood University

| ID | Sex | Class | Presidential Approval | BMI (kg/m^2) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 222 | Male | Sophomore | Disapprove | 20.4 |
| 224 | Male | Sophomore | Unsure | 27.8 |
| 228 | Male | Senior | Approve | 32 |
| 229 | Male | Freshman | Unsure | 26.2 |
| 233 | Male | Freshman | Disapprove | 17.9 |
| 234 | Male | Junior | Approve | 47.6 |
| 235 | Male | Junior | Unsure | 31.7 |
| 236 | Male | Junior | Unsure | 30.7 |
| 238 | Male | Junior | Approve | 28 |
| 239 | Male | Freshman | Unsure | 26.6 |
| 241 | Male | Freshman | Disapprove | 22 |
| 249 | Male | Junior | Disapprove | 20.5 |
| 254 | Male | Sophomore | Disapprove | 34 |
| 255 | Male | Freshman | Approve | 32.6 |
| 256 | Male | Junior | Unsure | 32.2 |
| 262 | Male | Sophomore | Unsure | 26.6 |
| 263 | Male | Junior | Unsure | 25.1 |
| 264 | Male | Freshman | Disapprove | 24.4 |
| 268 | Male | Sophomore | Disapprove | 22.3 |
| 269 | Male | Freshman | Disapprove | 22.1 |
| 287 | Male | Senior | Disapprove | 29.8 |
| 289 | Male | Sophomore | Unsure | 28.4 |
| 292 | Male | Sophomore | Unsure | 25.1 |
| 300 | Male | Junior | Disapprove | 40.7 |
| 303 | Male | Freshman | Approve | 23 |
| 307 | Male | Junior | Disapprove | 24.9 |
| 312 | Male | Junior | Approve | 30.6 |
| 313 | Male | Freshman | Disapprove | 30.1 |
| 317 | Male | Freshman | Approve | 24.4 |
| 321 | Male | Sophomore | Unsure | 29.6 |
| 324 | Male | Junior | Disapprove | 23.8 |


| 327 | Male | Sophomore | Unsure | 62.9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 328 | Male | Senior | Approve | 35 |
| 331 | Male | Junior | Disapprove | 24.5 |
| 332 | Male | Junior | Approve | 24.4 |
| 335 | Male | Senior | Unsure | 21.6 |
| 337 | Male | Freshman | Approve | 19.5 |
| 339 | Male | Senior | Approve | 21.4 |
| 342 | Male | Senior | Unsure | 24.8 |
| 345 | Male | Freshman | Disapprove | 21.2 |

Table 3

|  | Female | Male |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Column1 | Column2 | Column3 |
| Mean | 26.2 | 27.9 |
| Standard |  |  |
| Deviation | 7.2 | 8.1 |
| Minimum | 18.1 | 17.9 |
| Q1 | 21.4 | 22.7 |
| Median | 23.7 | 25.7 |
| Q3 | 29.1 | 30.7 |
| Maximum | 47.9 | 62.9 |
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